

Minutes of the Meeting of the Quality Advisory Council held on 18-04-2016 under the Chairmanship of the Vice-Chancellor in the Committee Room adjacent to the Vice-Chancellor Office, M.D. University, Rohtak

The members deliberated on the agenda at length and resolved/suggested the following:

1. Confirmation of the Minutes of the Meeting of the QAC held on 11-02-2016

Confirmed the minutes of the meeting of the QAC held on 11-02-2016.

2. Follow-up Action Taken Report

Noted the follow up action on the resolutions by the QAC in its meeting held on 11-02-2016 (Annexure I).

3. Feedback Analysis for the Session 2014-15

Feedback obtained from students and parents by University Teaching Departments along with a sample of filled in proforma was put before the Quality Advisory Council (QAC) by the office of IQAC. On the basis of the feedback so obtained, the Council observed the following:

A) Analysis of the Feedback from Students (Session 2014-15)

S.No.	Parameter	Rating
1.	Quality of Course Content	Very Good
2.	Course coverage and delivery	Very Good
3.	Knowledge base of the students	Very Good
4.	Communication skills of the teachers	Very Good
5.	Sincerity and commitment level of teachers	Very Good
6.	Practical and applied content of teaching	Very Good
7.	Teachers' accessibility outside the class	Very Good
8.	Teachers' testing methods to evaluate students	Good
9.	Learning outcomes	Very Good
10.	Encouragement by teachers for class participation	Very Good
11.	Fairness of internal assessment	Good
12.	Availability of optional papers for students' choice	Good
13.	Students' knowledge about course details, fees etc before admission	Good
14.	Adequacy and quality of computer labs/workshops/studios etc.	Good
15.	Library support	Very Good
16.	Support from administrative office	Good
17.	Co-curricular and extra-curricular activities	Good

l. an
21/04/16

18.	Student-Teacher relationship	Very Good
19.	Handling of students' grievances	Good
20.	Career counseling and placement facility	Good
21.	OVERALL RATING(Keeping in view general teaching-learning environment)	Good

The feedback from students revealed that the "Very Good" was rated for 11 out of the above 21 parameters, that is, University is doing extremely well in case of "Very Good" rated 11 parameters. However, in case of the remaining 10 parameters, "Good" was rated (points given for these parameters are just below 3 but closer to 3 on a 4-point scale, so closer to the rating "Very Good"). These parameters are:

- Teachers' testing methods to evaluate students
- Fairness of internal assessment
- Availability of optional papers for students' choice
- Students' knowledge about course details, fees etc before admission
- Adequacy and quality of computer labs/workshops/studios etc.
- Support from administrative office
- Co-curricular and extra-curricular activities
- Handling of students' grievances
- Career counselling and placement facility

The council observed that though the above parameters are also closer to the rating "Very good", still there is need to take steps to improve these parameters.

B) Parent Feedback Analysis (Session 2014-15)

Sr. No	Particulars	Percentage of the Parents				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1.	Getting admission in this university is a matter of pride	41.84	46.67	11.26	0.23	0.00
2.	The university has a fair admission process	33.73	55.58	9.26	1.43	0.00
3.	My ward is improving his/her knowledge through interaction with faculty	31.30	53.79	13.69	0.98	0.24
4.	The university maintains discipline in all aspects	28.02	54.11	16.18	1.21	0.48
5.	This Department has a conducive learning environment	27.88	59.86	11.06	1.20	0.00
6.	A positive change in the behavior and personality of my ward is clearly noticeable after joining the dept	30.57	51.18	16.11	1.42	0.71
7.	Information is accessible to all at the dept. as well as university level	20.57	56.94	19.86	2.39	0.24

Dr. Anil

8.	The course curriculum promotes learning experience	29.12	55.13	15.27	0.48	0.00
9.	All the staff members in the dept. are cooperative	39.47	51.20	8.85	0.24	0.24
10.	Changes introduced in the dept. recent are progressive	27.91	53.26	17.91	0.70	0.23
11.	Teachers take full interest in the progress of my ward	35.32	47.26	15.51	1.67	0.24
12.	My ward always speaks high of the dept. and its faculty at home	23.56	51.68	21.15	3.37	0.24
13.	Dept. makes effort for the placement/ future prospects of students	18.66	43.54	25.12	11.00	1.67
14.	My ward is encouraged to participate in extramural activities	27.51	46.89	18.90	5.98	0.72
15.	I would like to recommend this dept. to my friends and relatives	34.46	48.19	15.42	1.93	0.00

For all the parameters, majority of the parents were agreed to all the parameters. 80 per cent of the parents agreed to eleven parameters,

In fourteen of the above fifteen parameters, more than 75 per cent of the parents were agreed to all the parameters. However, for one of the parameters i.e. " Dept. makes effort for the placement/ future prospects of students", the lowest percentage (62 per cent) of parents was observed as agreeing to it and hence this parameter needs to be taken care of by the University.

Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

D. An
Director (IQAC) 21/04/16

Internal Quality Assurance Cell
Maharshi Dayanand University
ROHTAK

Action Taken Report on the Resolutions Passed by the Quality Advisory Council of the Maharshi Dayanand University Rohtak in Its meeting Held on 11-02-2016

1. Confirmation of the Minutes of the IQAC meeting held on 9th September, 2015

The minutes were confirmed.

2. Follow-up Action Taken Report

The Council noted it.

3. Introduction of Choice Based Credit System (CBCS) for All PG Programmes in the University

A committee was constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to consider the Ordinance based on CBCS for PG programmes which recommended the Ordinance based on CBCS on 11-04-16 for placing before the Academic Council of the University.

4. Financial Assistance through Research Projects and Consultancy

The matter was brought to the notice of HoDs/Deans.

5. Feedback Analysis for the Session 2013-14

The observations of the QAC that there is need to work more on some parameters as revealed by feedback obtained from the stakeholders are being taken care of.

Sh. An
21/04/16
Director (IQAC)
Director
Internal Quality Assurance Cell
Maharshi Dayanand University
ROHTAK